

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,894	KWONG ET AL.	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** pending

(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek. (3) _____.

(2) Thomas Anderson (Registration No. 37,063). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2006 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-18

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed restriction claims of the instant Application into two groups. Applicant's representative stated preference of getting written restriction from Examiner instead of making election over the telephone.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)